

Cabinet (Resources) Panel

16 November 2022

Report title	Procurement – Award of Contracts for Works, Goods and Services	
Decision designation	AMBER	
Cabinet member with lead responsibility	Councillor Obaida Ahmed Resources and Digital City	
Key decision	Yes	
In forward plan	Yes	
Wards affected	All Wards	
Accountable Director	Claire Nye, Director of Finance	
Originating service	Procurement	
Accountable employee	John Thompson	Head of Procurement
	Tel	01902 554503
	Email	John.Thompson@wolverhampton.gov.uk
Report to be/has been considered by	Directorate Leadership Team	

Recommendations for decision:

The Cabinet (Resources) Panel is recommended to:

1. Delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change, in consultation with the Director of City Housing and Environment, to approve the award of a contract for A461 Outline Business Case when the evaluation process is complete.
2. Delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change, in consultation with the Director of City Housing and Environment, to approve the award of a contract for Black Country Urban Traffic Control Communications when the evaluation process is complete.
3. Delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for Environment and Climate Change, in consultation with the Director of City Housing and Environment, to approve the award of a contract for Contingency Residual Waste Disposal when the evaluation process is complete.

4. Delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change in consultation with the Director of City Housing and Environment, to approve the award of a contract for the Refurbishment of East Park when the evaluation process is complete.
5. Delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for City Assets and Housing, in consultation with the Deputy Director of City Assets, to approve the award of a contract for Lifts Maintenance when the evaluation process is complete.

1.0 Councillor Steve Evans, Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change

1.1 Delegated Authority to Award a Contract – A461 Outline Business Case

Ref no:	CWC22111
Council Plan aim	Climate Conscious
Originating service	City Housing and Environment on behalf of Black Country Transport (BCT)
Accountable officer	Stuart Everton, Black Country Director of Transport (01902) 554097
Leadership Team approval	4 October 2022
Accountable Lead Cabinet Member	Councillor Steve Evans, Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change (01902) 861498
Date Lead Cabinet Member briefed	7 October 2022
Procurement advisor	Alison Porter, Procurement Manager (01902) 555065

1.2 Background

- 1.3 City of Wolverhampton Council (CWC) are procuring consultants on behalf of Black Country Transport (BCT) as per the Collaboration Agreement signed 30 March 2021. BCT are undertaking this project on behalf of, and in collaboration with, Dudley (DMBC) and Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Councils (SMBC). The commission is to develop two Outline Business Cases (OBCs) for the A461 Walk, Cycle and Bus Corridor – Dudley and Sandwell sections, building upon the existing Strategic Outline Business Cases (SOBCs). The OBCs will be accompanied by preliminary designs and other elements as outlined in the consultant specification.
- 1.4 Transforming Cities Fund 2 (TCF2) and City Region Sustainable Transport Settlement (CRSTS) are the main funding sources for this project. The full CRSTS allocation for these two schemes combined is £25 million. £1 million of this allocation is being requested for early draw-down to fund further development of the scheme. This will be approved at the time that the West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA) formally approve the SOBC which is currently undergoing appraisal. Once the approval is received, the grant agreement for the £1.0 million can be signed and formally accepted by CWC as the budget holder.

Proposed Contract Award	
Contract duration	12 months
Contract Commencement date	1 December 2022
Total value	£500,000

1.5 Procurement Process

- 1.6 The intended procurement will be a framework further competition using ESPO Consultancy Services (661_21) Framework Lot 5 Highways, Traffic and Transport. The intended procurement procedure will be in accordance with Public Contract Regulations 2015.
- 1.7 This route to market has been decided to ensure CWC are getting the best possible value for money. Using the mini-competition route will also give CWC the opportunity to utilise other companies who have not worked with CWC before. This will support the diversification of trusted consultants, as well as engage a second set of consultants working on this project to quality check the work that has previously been undertaken, suggesting any amendments or additions that may have been missed or add value.
- 1.8 Using the ESPO Framework enables us to ensure that those we are inviting to bid on the procurement had sufficient skills and experience to deliver the work. This is an extensive piece of work, and therefore we need to be satisfied that anyone who may win this procurement will be able to undertake the work as required, and within a reasonable timeframe.
- 1.9 The framework we are using has 47 suppliers that could choose to bid on this work, a number of whom have local officers and staff within the Wolverhampton and WMCA area. All 47 suppliers have been notified of the upcoming work, and therefore the opportunity to gain value for money is very high, giving us the best opportunity to make the most out of the Wolverhampton Pound.
- 1.10 The work we are procuring requires a multi-disciplinary team to be able to produce all of the elements required, as we are procuring professional services to produce business cases, designs, and undertake scheme traffic modelling. The ESPO Framework that we are using contains many multi-disciplinary teams who have proven experience of similar projects.
- 1.11 It was considered that due to the number of the suppliers on the framework, using the ESPO Framework was most appropriate for this work, as it enabled us to ensure that our opportunity remained open to a large amount of potential bidders, while ensuring that those bidders have the skills and experience to undertake the work appropriately.

- 1.12 In addition, we have a requirement to demonstrate spend against the budgets by March 2023, which may not have been possible with the timescales of a fully open procurement, particularly as an open procurement may have required two stages due to the complexity of the work. Should that procurement had failed, we would risk claw-back of the funding having not spent against it. In the event of claw-back, we would then have to utilise valuable time and resources sourcing the funding from elsewhere, instead of undertaking the work required to deliver our projects. Also, if we fail to undertake the development work by March 2023, we risk securing additional funding to deliver the capital works over the next 2 to 3 years.
- 1.13 The evaluation scoring balance will 40% Price, 50% Quality and 10% Social Value. Any amendments to the procurement procedure will be reported in an Individual Executive Decision Notice (IEDN) to the relevant Cabinet Member in consultation with the relevant Director in accordance with the delegation within this report.
- 1.14 The evaluation team will comprise:

Name	Job Title	Organisation (if not WCC)
Roxanne Warrilow	BCT Project Manager	
Talvinder Sandhu	Transportation Planning Team Leader	Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council
Neil Lissimore	Principal Engineer	Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council

1.15 Evaluation of alternative options

- 1.16 The first alternative option is to not procure consultants and to undertake the work in-house. However, the consultants on the framework are multi-disciplinary teams containing various team members with differing skills to undertake all work provided. In-house, we would not have the suitable diversity of skillset to undertake the technical modelling, appraisal and forecasting work required. Additionally, we do not have the capacity to undertake the design work and the written analysis to the required standard. It is likely the OBCs produced in-house would not be sufficient to meet the requirements of the funder and they would not be approved, meaning a procurement would have to be undertaken eventually to fill in any gaps. This would likely take as long as procuring consultants to undertake the whole process.
- 1.17 The other option is to do nothing and stop work on the project. This would render existing work abortive, and risk claw-back of previous spend. In addition, the funding allocation to the project would be reallocated elsewhere, potentially outside of the Black Country. This corridor is a priority area for investment and improvement and the work is required to ensure the changes are delivered as needed.

1.18 Reasons for decisions

- 1.19 Procuring consultants to undertake the work is the best way to ensure that we progress on timeline and within budget, making progress towards our end goal of delivering interventions on the ground by 2027.
- 1.20 A mini competition will ensure we are appointing the consultant with the best quality vs. price comparison ensuring best value for the Council.

1.21 Financial Implications

- 1.22 The Transport capital programme includes approved capital budgets of £520,000 for the A461 scheme in both Dudley and Sandwell.

1.23 Legal Implications

- 1.24 The procurement will be a framework direct award in accordance with the Public Contract Regulations 2015 and Council's Contract Procedure Rules.

1.25 Equalities implications

- 1.26 The commission has no direct equalities impacts as it is development work only. The schemes will take due consideration of accessibility issues. Cycling and walking, which is a focus of the scheme, offers opportunities to several low income and marginalised groups to improve their mobility. While currently a large proportion of cyclists are white men, there is considerable evidence that shows that improved infrastructure increases the rates of cycling among women and ethnic minority communities. The ESPO Consultancy Services Framework (reference 664_21) Lot 5 Highways, Traffic and Transport also requires suppliers contracted within their Frameworks to comply with applicable Equality Legislation.

1.27 All other implications

- 1.28 There are no other implications associated with this report.

1.29 Recommendation

- 1.30 Cabinet (Resources) Panel is recommended to delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change, in consultation with the Director of City Housing and Environment, to approve the award of a contract for A461 Outline Business Case when the evaluation process is complete. This is subject to future funding being secured by way of grants.

2.0 Councillor Steve Evans, Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change
2.1 Delegated Authority to Award a Contract - Black Country Urban Traffic Control (UTC) Communications Contract

Ref no:	CWC22159
Council Plan aim	Driven by Digital
Originating service	Transportation
Accountable officer	John Charles, UTC Team Leader (01902) 555795
Leadership Team approval	4 October 2022
Accountable Lead Cabinet Member	Councillor Steve Evans, Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change (01902) 861498
Date Lead Cabinet Member briefed	7 Oct 2022
Procurement advisor	Barry Greenwood, Procurement Manager, (01902) 551463

2.2 Background

- 2.3 During the contract period backhaul circuits have been introduced to manage a range of Intelligent Transport System assets across the Black Country (BC), on behalf of the four highway authorities. It is business critical to ensure the continued operation of the communications to these assets to maintain the efficient operation of the highway network and the delivery of CWC Statutory Network Management Duty.
- 2.4 Throughout the next contract period it is hoped that the Wolverhampton will complete a review of communications and implement its own fibre and 5G network which will facilitate a transition away from the reliance on BT backhubs. Unfortunately, other BC authorities do not have this option and their reliance on BT circuits will continue in the future, Wolverhampton will continue to manage this contract on behalf off the other Councils.

Proposed Contract Award	
Contract duration	Three Years
Contract Commencement date	1 January 2023

Total value	£309,620*
-------------	-----------

*Please note this is the combined value for all BC Councils utilising this agreement.

2.5 Procurement Process

2.6 The intended procurement procedure will be in accordance with Public Contract Regulations 2015.

2.7 Crown Commercial Services Network Services 2 framework will be used for the procurement process. The Wolverhampton Pound was considered for this opportunity but due to the specialist nature of the requirement and the robust technical contractual terms, it was determined that utilising the framework agreement would be the best route to market.

2.8 The evaluation scoring balance will be 100% on price. Any amendments to the procurement procedure will be reported in an Individual Executive Decision Notice (IEDN) to the relevant Cabinet Member in consultation with the relevant Director in accordance with the delegation within this report.

2.9 The evaluation team will comprise:

Name	Job Title	Organisation (if not WCC)
John Charles	Highway Network Manager	CWC
Andrew Evans	Professional Lead UTC	CWC

2.10 Evaluation of alternative options

2.11 For CWC, we are seeking to migrate the communication infrastructure to CWC owned fibre as part of the roll out of citywide fibre project. It is hoped that this will be completed during the term of this contract.

2.12 Other authorities have no viable alternative at the current time and as such will continue to require the communication infrastructure for the foreseeable future.

2.13 Reason for decisions

2.14 It is business critical to ensure the continued operation of the backhaul circuits to maintain the efficient operation of the highway network and the delivery of CWC's Statutory Network Management Duty.

2.15 Financial Implications

- 2.16 Dudley Council, Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council, and Walsall Council have confirmed their intention to continue with the proposed arrangements to continue the business need.
- 2.17 In CWC's case the city-wide fibre roll-out allows the number of circuits procured through this arrangement to be significantly reduced, from 21 to 7, and in financial terms from £81,405 to £29,056. It is hoped that during the term of this contract that the remaining Wolverhampton circuits will be ceased, however Wolverhampton will continue to manage the contract on behalf of the other authorities.
- 2.18 The total cost of the contract for per year is shown in the table below:

CWC					
Term 3 Years					
Service	Upfront	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	TCO
Managed Service	£7,154	£12,102	£12,102	£12,102	£43,460
Ethernet Connect United Kingdom (ECUK)	£0.00	£88,719	£88,719	£88,719	£266,157
Total	£7,154	£100,821	£100,821	£100,821	£309,620

- 2.19 The contract is funded from the UTC revenue service budget; income is also received through the UTC SLA, with the other Black Country Authorities. The breakdown by authority per annum is shown in the table below:

	CWC	DMBC	WMBC	SMBC	Total
Number of Backhauls	7	11	11	5	34
Upfront (Year 1 only)	£1,066	£3,096	£516	£2,475	£7,154
Managed Service/ ECUK Year 1	£29,055	£24,664	£34,894	£12,207	£100,821
Year 2	£29,055	£24,664	£34,894	£12,207	£100,821
Year 3	£29,055	£24,664	£34,894	£12,207	£100,821
Total	£88,231	£77,088	£105,198	£39,096	£309,620

2.20 Legal implications

- 2.21 The procurement will be an above threshold procedure in accordance with the Public Contract Regulations 2015 and CWC's Contract Procedure Rules.

2.22 Equalities implications

2.23 Whilst there are no direct equalities implications in the award of the particular contract, the procurement process will enable equalities considerations to be considered prior to entering a contract.

2.24 All other implications

2.25 There are no other implications associated with this report.

2.26 Recommendation

2.27 Cabinet (Resources) Panel is recommended to delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change, in consultation with the Director of City Housing and Environment, to approve the award of a contract for Black Country Urban Traffic Control Communications when the evaluation process is complete.

3.0 Councillor Steve Evans, Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change

3.1 Delegated Authority to Award a Contract – Contingency Residual Waste Disposal Services

Ref no:	CWC22153
Council Plan aim	Climate Conscious
Originating service	Waste Services
Accountable officer	Anna Spinks, Energy from Waste Programme Manager
Leadership Team approval	4 October 2022
Accountable Lead Cabinet Member	Councillor Steve Evans, Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change (01902) 861498
Date Lead Cabinet Member briefed	16 September 2022
Procurement advisor	Karen Boden, Procurement Manager (01902) 555136

3.2 Background

3.3 This contract is required to provide contingency disposal for residual waste in case of interruption to operation of the plant.

Proposed Contract Award	
Contract Duration	1 year (1 + 1)
Contract Commencement date	12 February 2023
Annual Value	£10,000,000
Total value (with extension)	£20,000,000

3.4 Procurement Process

3.5 The intended procurement procedure will be an above threshold open tender in accordance with Public Contract Regulations 2015. This route to market has been

decided because it is the most appropriate and cost-effective method for the type of contract needed and to ensure it is awarded within the project timeline while still providing opportunities for local companies to bid supporting the Wolverhampton Pound.

- 3.6 The evaluation scoring balance will be 70% Price, 30% quality. Any amendments to the procurement procedure will be reported in an Individual Executive Decision Notice (IEDN) to the relevant Cabinet Member in consultation with the relevant Director in accordance with the delegation within this report.
- 3.7 The evaluation team will comprise:

Name	Job Title
Steve Woodward	Head of Environmental Services
Anna Spinks	EFW Programme Manager
Jeremy Bannister	Waste Manager
Kate Jespers	Operations Manager
Matthew Leadbeater	Project Manager

3.8 Evaluation of alternative options

- 3.9 Alternative options for continued waste disposal have been considered as part of the wider EFW Project that is managing the current EFW contract end. This market exercise is deemed to be the most appropriate route to provide the services required within the timeframe required.

3.10 Reason for decisions

- 3.11 This contract is required to provide a contingency disposal solution in case of interruption to the operation of the EFW plant.

3.12 Legal implications

- 3.13 The procurement will be an above threshold procedure in accordance with the Public Contract Regulations 2015 and CWC's Contract Procedure Rules.

- 3.14 CWC also has a statutory duty to undertake waste collection and disposal.

3.15 Financial Implications

- 3.16 The ad hoc use of this contract as a short-term contingency will be accommodated within the current waste disposal budgets held within waste services. In the event that costs exceed the available budget then we would look to seek approval to use the Budget Contingency Reserve to fund any gap.

3.17 If this becomes a longer term solution, then any cost pressures will be considered and built into the budget setting process.

3.18 Equalities implications

3.19 Following consultation with our Equality, Diversity and Inclusion team, they are satisfied that there are no direct equalities implications associated with this contract, as it relates to disposal of residual waste only.

3.20 The successful contractor will be required to have a clear policy/statement on their commitment to equality of opportunity.

3.21 All other implications

3.22 There are no other implications associated with this report.

3.23 Recommendation

3.24 Cabinet (Resources) Panel is recommended to delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for Environment and Climate Change, in consultation with the Director of City Housing and Environment, to approve the award of a contract for Contingency Residual Waste Disposal when the evaluation process is complete.

4.0 Councillor Steve Evans, Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change

4.1 Delegated Authority to Award a Contract – East Park Refurbishment

Ref no:	CWC22147
Council Plan aim	A vibrant, green city we can all be proud of with Healthy, inclusive communities and strong families where children grow up well and achieve their full potential
Originating service	City Housing & Environment
Accountable officer	Ola Ona, Design Engineering Manager (01902) 555434
Leadership Team approval	19 April 2022
Accountable Lead Cabinet Member	Councillor Steve Evans, Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change (01902) 861498
Date Lead Cabinet Member briefed	12 November 2021
Procurement advisor	Florence Ahiente, Procurement Manager

4.2 Background

- 4.3 This contract is for the provision of a play area with a splash pad at East Park. The current condition of the paddling pool plant and associated pipe work above ground is not fit for purpose.
- 4.4 Consultations were held with the residents between December 2021 and January 2022, and it was established that the key priority should be to refurbish the existing pool area, by converting it to a play area with a splash pad.
- 4.5 The pool plant together with the associated pipe work has been fully isolated from the pool, with all the pipework drained and the valves shut down. The electrical supply is no longer functional due to its age, and the amount of damp in the plant room.
- 4.6 The 40-year-old sand filters have also failed and need to be changed, but due to the inaccessibility to the plant space the sand filters cannot be removed. Additionally, due to the way the building housing the plant was built around the plant, the filter has never been changed. This has had a direct impact on the ability of the plant to effectively dose the system. This means that the water could contain dangerously high levels of bacteria

from urine, dog faeces and so on. Due to these reasons and the clear risks to Health & Safety the East Park paddling pool was condemned and decommissioned in 2018.

Proposed Contract Award	
Contract duration	25 weeks
Contract Commencement date	22 February 2023
Total value	£500,000

4.7 Procurement Process

4.8 The intended procurement route is the open procedure in accordance with Public Contract Regulations 2015. This route has been chosen to support the Wolverhampton pound by enabling local suppliers to bid for the opportunity.

4.9 The evaluation scoring balance will be 60% price, 30% quality and 10% Social Value.

4.10 Any amendments to the procurement procedure will be reported in an Individual Executive Decision Notice (IEDN) to the relevant Cabinet Member in consultation with the relevant Director in accordance with the delegation within this report.

4.11 The evaluation team will comprise:

Name	Job Title
Ola Ona	Design Engineering Manager
Peter Johnson	Parks, Landscapes & Countryside Manager
Bally Meetca	Mechanical Engineer

4.12 Evaluation of alternative options

4.13 The first alternative option would be to do nothing, which would constitute reputational damage to CWC, who has already committed to providing this facility for the community.

4.14 The second alternative option would have been to use a framework, which has not been considered as it was felt this would not attract interest from the wider market, small and medium sized enterprises (SME's) and local suppliers.

4.15 Reasons for decisions

4.16 This contract for refurbishment is required to offer a better park and recreational area for the local community, supporting key priorities within the Council Plan, such as healthy,

inclusive communities. Additionally, the contract will also support the local economy and ensure CWC obtains value for money.

4.17 Financial Implications

4.18 The Capital Programme 2022-2023 to 2026-2027 Quarter Two Review report which is on the agenda for Cabinet on 16 November 2022 seeks approval for the creation of the East Park Improvement Project. Should this approval not be granted then either alternative funding will need to be identified or the contract would need to be reviewed.

4.19 Legal implications

4.20 The procurement will be an above threshold procedure in accordance with the Public Contract Regulations 2015 and Council's Contract Procedure Rules.

4.21 Equalities implications

4.22 The issues affecting East Park have been high on CWC's agenda for some time and this has been expedited following consultation with residents. The new play equipment will be for young people- 0-15 age group, families, and local residents. Equalities will be considered as part of the tender process and the equalities team will be consulted to ensure the contract meets CWC's equalities obligations.

4.23 All other implications

4.24 There are no other implications applicable to this report.

4.25 Recommendation

4.26 Cabinet (Resources) Panel is recommended to delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for City Environment and Climate Change in consultation with the Director of City Housing and Environment, to approve the award of a contract for the Refurbishment of East Park when the evaluation process is complete.

5.0 Councillor Bhupinder Gakhal, Cabinet Member for City Assets and Housing

5.1 Delegated Authority to Award a Contract – Lifts Maintenance

Ref no:	CWC22048
Council Plan aim	Our Assets
Originating service	City Assets
Accountable officer	Richard E Jones, Maintenance Programme Manager (01902) 555448
Leadership Team approval	02 Nov 2022
Accountable Lead Cabinet Member	Councillor Bhupinder Gakhal, Cabinet Member for City Assets and Housing
Date Lead Cabinet Member briefed	09 Nov 2022
Procurement advisor	Anna Du-Mont, Procurement Manager (01902) 551099

5.2 Background

5.3 CWC has a statutory responsibility to ensure that all passenger lifts present in CWC buildings are tested and inspected on a regular basis. This ensures that all CWC buildings which have passenger lifts are inspected, tested, and regularly maintained in accordance with the relevant legislation to reduce the risk of harm to employees and the public.

5.4 This contract is in accordance with CWC's statutory duties under Lifting Operations and Lifting Equipment Regulations, which are designed to prevent harm. It is the responsibility of the Facilities Management Maintenance Team to ensure that the buildings are fully compliant, along with all the necessary lift Maintenance work being completed in safe and timely manner.

Proposed Contract Award	
Contract duration	Six years (4+2)
Contract Commencement date	1 January 2023
Annual value	£170,000
Total value	£1.02 million

5.5 Procurement Process

- 5.6 The intended procurement procedure will be an open tender procedure in accordance with Public Contract Regulations 2015. This route to market has been decided as it will support the Wolverhampton Pound enabling local suppliers to bid for the opportunity.
- 5.7 The evaluation scoring balance will be 70% quality and 30% price. Any amendments to the procurement procedure will be reported in an Individual Executive Decision Notice (IEDN) to the relevant Cabinet Member in consultation with the relevant Director in accordance with the delegation within this report.
- 5.8 The evaluation team will comprise:

Name	Job Title
Richard E Jones	Maintenance Programme Manager
John Sherrard	Building Engineering Manager
Ian Thomas	Senior Mechanical Engineer
Balbinder Meetca	Building Service Engineer (Mechanical)

5.9 Evaluation of alternative options

- 5.10 The alternative option would be to not retender this service. However, this option is not preferred as it would result in CWC being non-compliant with its statutory duty to ensure lifts are maintained, and also potentially create unsafe conditions for staff and the public to operate in.
- 5.11 In terms of the potential in house option, this type of service contract is very specialist. It is a critical safety and compliance service area. Our estate of lifts has a very wide base of manufactures, which further complicates the maintenance and repair of the equipment. We don't have the specialist knowledge or experience to be able to repair our passage lift plant with our current engineering resource.

5.12 Reason for decisions

- 5.13 Procuring a contractor to conduct CWC's lift maintenance service has been decided as the most suitable route as it ensure that CWC can meet its statutory duty as well as ensure the lifts offered across CWC assets are well maintained and operational.

5.14 Financial Implications

5.15 This contract will be funded from existing approved Repairs and Maintenance and Corporate Asset Management, Capital, and Revenue budgets. The maximum annual contract value of £170,000 for each of the four years, allows for additional works to be awarded subject to further budget approvals as required.

5.16 Legal implications

5.17 The procurement will be an above threshold procedure in accordance with the Public Contract Regulations 2015 and CWC's Contract Procedure Rules.

5.18 Equalities implications

5.19 The service will work with the Equalities team to ensure equalities implications are considered in the contract by ensuring that the appointed contractor understands and implements their responsibilities fully.

5.20 All other implications

5.21 There are no other implications applicable to this report.

5.22 Recommendation

5.23 Cabinet (Resources) Panel is recommended to delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for City Assets and Housing, in consultation with the Deputy Director of City Assets, to approve the award of a contract for Lifts Maintenance when the evaluation process is complete.

6.0 Finance implications

6.1 All financial implications are included within the relevant section of the report.
[RP/07112022/J]

7.0 Legal implications

7.1 All legal implications are included within the relevant section of the report.
[SZ/25102022/P]

8.0 Equalities implications

8.1 The relevance to equalities and progress in terms of equality analysis will vary for each proposal included in this report. Accountable officers have and will ensure that evidence is collected and used to demonstrate compliance with CWC's legal obligations under the Equality Act 2010.

9.0 All other implications

9.1 All other implications are included within the relevant section of the report.

10.0 Schedule of background papers

10.1 All background papers are included within the relevant section of the report.